Virginia Department of Health (VDH) Private Well Regulations Workgroup October 5, 2016, Meeting Summary

Roanoke Health Department 1502 Williamson Road N.E. 2nd Floor Roanoke, Virginia 24012

List of Attendees:

Private Well Regulations Workgroup Members

John Danielson – Virginia Water Well Association
Mark Perry – VDH (Office of Drinking Water)
Jon Richardson – VDH (local health department)
Scott Bruce – Department of Environmental Quality
Dr. Kelsey Pieper – Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech
Ronnie Helmick – Virginia Water Well Association
Erin Ling – Virginia Household Water Quality Program
Wayne Fenton – Virginia Water Well Association
Dennis Duty – Manufacturer
Greg Hudson – Onsite Soil Evaluator
Jeff Walker – Onsite Soil Evaluator
Ben Spence – Virginia Water Well Association

Janice Tatum – Southeast Rural Community Assistance Project

VDH Staff and Members of the Public

Lance Gregory – VDH Tim Baker – VDH Gary Thomas - VDH

Administrative

1. Welcome.

Mr. Gregory welcomed the workgroup and thanked the members for their participation.

2. Travel Reimbursements.

Mr. Gregory distributed travel reimbursements to workgroup members.

3. Introduction of Workgroup Members.

Workgroup members then introduced themselves.

4. Approve agenda.

The workgroup reviewed the agenda; there were no suggested edits.

5. Review Summary from September 8, 2016 meeting.

The workgroup reviewed the summary from the September 8, 2016 meeting; there were no edits.

General Information

1. Purpose of the Private Well Regulations Workgroup.

Mr. Gregory reiterated the purpose of the workgroup is to assist VDH in developing proposed revisions to the Private Well Regulations (12VAC5-630-10 et. seq., the Regulations).

Mr. Gregory also shared a list of public comments received during the period review for the Regulations which closed on October 10, 2016. The public comments can be viewed at http://www.townhall.virginia.gov/L/ViewPReview.cfm?PRid=1526.

2. Ground rules for workgroup meetings.

Mr. Gregory reiterated the ground rules for the workgroup as discussed during the August 4, 2016, meeting.

Discussion

1. Follow up on questions from previous meeting.

Mr. Gregory then shared with the workgroup initial feedback regarding questions from previous meetings.

Requiring abandonment of dry wells and contaminated wells.

VDH looked at this issue several times for specific issues, such as uranium mining in Virginia. In those cases VDH determined that a specific revision to the Code would be required to provide VDH the authority to require the abandonment of a contaminated private well.

Creating construction and abandonment criteria for geotechnical wells and exploration wells.

The Private Well Regulations define "observation and monitoring wells" as a well constructed to measure hydrogeologic parameters, such as the fluctuation of water levels, or for monitoring the quality of ground water, or for both purposes. Section 420 of the regulations exempts observation and monitoring wells unless they will remain in served after completion of the study. The definition of "water well" or "well" in the Regulations also exempts these types of wells from the regulations. However, the Code definition of "private well" is broad enough that these additional well types could potentially fall under the definition.

Revising section 340 to require an easement, even if the property owner is the same.

The Private Well Regulations and the Sewage Handling and Disposal Regulations do not require an easement if the property owner is the same. There may be some property rights issues to require an owner to give themselves an easement.

Creating maintenance requirements for wells.

Section 32.1-176.4 provided VDH the authority to adopt regulations pertaining to the location and construction of private wells; it does not mention operation and maintenance. A Code change was required to provide VDH specific authority to require operation and maintenance for alternative onsite sewage system. Therefore, it would seem that similar specific Code authority would be required to allow VDH to develop maintenance requirements for private wells.

Creating regulations for water haulers.

The Code doesn't provide VDH authority to regulate water haulers. It does provide authority to regulate sewage haulers. There is potential for VDH to revise the Regulations to create specific criteria for water used during the drilling process, but VDH may need additional Code authority to regulate the trucks or people hauling the water.

Regarding the potential to create specific criteria for water used during the drilling process, the workgroup there was some general agreement to adding a requirement that water used in well construction be from a suitable sources or treated. Comments on this issue included:

- Should not add chlorine to drilling water because it breaks down the drilling mud.
- If you have to haul water from a potable source, it would cost way too much.
- Should allow hauling water from ponds and creeks, and chlorinating the water.
- Need to clarify which specific chlorine can be used.
- The water used in the construction of the well is pumped off during construction.
- Pool tablets have herbicides; owners need to know not to use those tablets for their well.

Dr. Pieper noted that she is currently participating in a shock chlorination study that may prove beneficial to the discussion regarding the specific method to use when chlorinating wells.

2. Incorporating data/research needs into discussion.

Mr. Gregory commented that a column for additional data or research needs was added to the table summarizing issues for the workgroup. He encouraged members to keep data and research needs in mind when discussing issues and recommendations for revising the regulations.

Dr. Pieper asked whether the purpose of the Regulations is to protect ground water or to protect public health; better defining the purpose of the Regulations would help in determining data and research needs.

Workgroup members discussed the availability of data regarding water sampling results and potential areas of contamination. Mrs. Ling noted that her program had mapped a few localities.

Dr. Pieper noted that need for a uniform method for sampling and development of uniform messaging when speaking with property owners.

3. Licensure and evaluations for permits.

One of the issues raised during previous meetings was the suggestion to allow well drillers to provide well evaluations to obtain permits. Mr. Gregory noted that this issue has been requested as part of House Bill 558. In the draft HB 558 reports VDH staff reported that it will require a change to the Code to allow well drillers to provide evaluations for all private wells. Section 32.1-176.5:2 states that VDH must accept evaluations from PEs and OSEs, but does not mention well drillers.

There is significant disagreement among workgroup members and other stakeholders regarding this issue. However, the issue would require legislative action before it could be addressed in the Regulations. Proponents for allowing well drillers to provide evaluations noted issues with designated well locations on permits frequently needing to be changed. An example provided was that a number of private sector onsite soil evaluators provide only a single point on the property for the well locations and the location may not be accessible for the well rig. Proponents commented that health districts have begun requiring a redesign and a new fee to move wells outside of the originally permitted location, whereas historically drillers were able to with local health department staff to shift the site. Opponents have voiced concerns with conflicts of interest and the lack of the authority for driller's to provide evaluations.

The workgroup discussed possible solutions for the issue, such as the use of well areas and modifying VDH policies regarding making changes to the permitted well location.

In addition to licensure for evaluation of well sites, the workgroup also discuss the potential to require licensure or certification of individuals collecting water samples required by the Regulations.

4. Construction standards.

The workgroup discussed several issues regarding construction standards. Comment included:

Requirement for mechanical seals/packers.

- Packers prevent water from coming back up and dissolving bentonite grout.
- Recommend adding language to state that for bedrock wells the well casing must be properly sealed at the termination of casing into the bedrock.

Add substantial compliance.

- The workgroup was generally supportive of including substantial compliance.
- How do you keep substantial compliance from getting stretched too far?

Effects of corrosive water on galvanized drop pipe/and casing.

- Just a few galvanized components can bring water above 15 ppb of lead in corrosive water conditions.
- The issue is more about looking at the corrosion issues; treatment is a cheaper option to resolve that changing construction standards.
- One option is to require that well components meet the U.S. EPA's standards for lead free components.

Acknowledging water well system provider license through the regulations.

- There was general agreement to revise the Regulations to acknowledge water well system provided throughout the document.
- The Class B contractor requirement is aimed at the company; still need that language for the company.
- 5. Separation distances.

Several workgroup members questions how the current separation distance standards were created. Mr. Gregory commented that he believe they were likely similar to separation distance requirements for public water wells in place at the time the Regulation were develop. Mr. Gregory committed to reviewing the matter further to provide additional background to the workgroup.

Several workgroup members noted that there hadn't been any problems with the current separation distances and did not recommend getting into the area of reducing setbacks. Other workgroup members noted a possible need to create different separation distances from onsite sewage system producing higher quality effluent than standard septic tank effluent.

Mr. Walker shared an example of highly treated effluent from an alternative onsite sewage system, and noted that in order to reduce the separation distance to drainfields there would need to be verification that the standards are being met either water quality or sewage quality.

6. Issues of local concern; Piedmont/Valley of Northern Virginia.

Issues of local concern were:

- Maintenance of private wells.
- Issues with degrading grout and casing.
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration Regulations say you have to be 20 feet from overhead line; 10 foot with special training. Need to consider this when siting wells for permits.
- Upgrading a Class IV to a Class III.

Virginia Department of Health Private Well Regulations Workgroup Tentative Agenda

Date: October 5, 2016 Time: 10 am to 2 pm

Primary Location: Roanoke Health Department

1502 Williamson Road N.E.

2nd Floor

Roanoke, Virginia 24012

Administrative (30 minutes)

- 1. Welcome. (5 minutes)
- 2. Travel Reimbursements. (5 minutes)
- 3. Introduction of Workgroup Members. (5 minutes)
- 4. Approve agenda. (5 minutes)
- 5. Review Summary from September 8, 2016 meeting. (10 minutes)

General Information (10 minutes)

- 1. Purpose of the Private Well Regulations Workgroup. (5 minutes)
- 2. Ground rules for workgroup meetings. (5 minutes)

Discussion (25 minutes)

- 1. Follow up on questions from previous meeting. (20 minutes)
- 2. Incorporating data/research needs into discussion. (5 minutes)

Break (5 minutes)

Discussion Continued (60 minutes)

- 3. Licensure and evaluations for permits. (20 minutes)
- 4. Construction standards. (40 minutes)

Break (5 minutes)

Discussion Continued (60 minutes)

- 5. Construction standards continued. (40 minutes)
- 6. Separation distances. (20 minutes)

Break (5 minutes)

Discussion Continued (40 minutes)

- 7. Separation distance continued. (20 minutes)
- 8. Issues of local concern; Piedmont/Valley of Northern Virginia. (20 minutes)

Adjourn

Virginia Department of Health Private Well Regulations Workgroup Summary of Issues Identified by Workgroup and Previous Draft Revisions

Issue	Code/ Regulations/	Possible Recommended Revision(s)	Fast-track	Economic	Data/ Research Needs
	Policy Revision		or NOIRA	Impact	
Abandonment					
Clarify abandonment requirements.	Regulations/Policy	Bored well abandonment should include mix rate (1/1/2) same as grouting of the well; cement. Grout materials cannot contain CCP (fly ash). Define clean fill as not containing source of contamination, impermeable material. Use same grout requirements as used for construction.			Does VDH have statutory authority to require abandonment of contaminated or dry wells? What are the abandonment requirements in other programs (ODW)?
Revise abandonment procedures (shallow wells, geotechnical and exploration wells, grout mixtures).	Code/Regulations	Create a method for abandoning geotechnical wells that is not required by permitting. Create a standard/BMP.			Does VDOT or neighboring states have any data from impacts of improperly grouted geotechnical wells? Does VDH have authority to regulate geotechnical wells? How do other agencies/states define geotechnical wells? Is there an ASTM standard?
Reduced setbacks from abandoned wells (e.g. separation distance from posed septic system).	Regulations				
Required abandonment of contaminated wells. Need to clarify whether the well	Code				

	1		T	
is the source or if the well				
is being contaminated by				
another source.				
Consistency with Other A	gencies/Offices/Regul	lations		
Siting a well downslope of	Regulations			
a septic system.				
Inconsistent	Policy			
implementation of				
regulations.				
Need to update	Policy			
implementation manual.				
Consistency with other,	Regulations			
sometime more stringent,				
regulations (e.g. Ground				
Water Management Areas				
screening and GPS				
requirements).				
Bring GMPs into the	Regulations			
regulations.				
Add substantial	Regulations			
compliance (similar to				
Sewage Handling and				
Disposal Regulations).				
Bring frequent variances	Regulations			
into the regulations.				
Consistency with GWMA	Regulations			
regs requirement for GPS				
locations on UWWCR.				
Construction Standards				
No emphasis on	Regulations/Policy			
construction of the well;				
proper grouting and				
sealing.				

Dania a sastina	D1-4:	1		
Revise grouting	Regulations			
requirements for				
downslope siting of a				
well.				
Alternate grouting	Regulations			
procedures for closed-loop				
geothermal.				
Requirement for	Regulations			
mechanical seals/packers.				
Add substantial	Regulations			
compliance.				
Separate construction	Regulations			
standards based on				
geology.				
Effects of corrosive water	Code/Regulations			
on galvanized drop pipe.				
Proper sealing of PVC	Regulations			
casing at interface with				
bedrock.				
Revised construction	Regulations			
standards for Class IIIA				
wells.				
New types of Class IV	Regulations			
wells (e.g. IVA)				
Standards for converting a	Regulations			
Class IV well to a Class				
III.				
Requirement for lead-free	Code/Regulations			
components.				
Standards for product	Regulations			
approvals (e.g. WSC,				
NSF).				
Revised standards for	Regulations			

			T	
wells in low areas.				
Revisit construction	Regulations			
standards exemptions for				
Class IIIC and Class IV				
wells.				
Add screening	Regulations			
requirements (Coastal				
Plain region).				
Revised grouting	Regulations			
procedures for inner and	8			
outer casings.				
Reclassification of wells				
from IIIC or IIIB				
Customer Service				
LHD requiring new	Regulations/Policy			
permit and fee for	regulations/1 oney			
relocating well.				
Consistency in design	Policy			
approach; VDH and	Toney			
private sector not on the				
-				
same page.	Dagulations/Dalian			
Need more flexibility with	Regulations/Policy			
permits.	C 1 / D 1 / 1 /			
Getting permits in a	Code/ Regulations/			
timely manner.	Policy			
Inconsistent	Policy			
implementation of the				
regulations.				
Need to update the	Policy			
implementation manual.				
Develop guidelines for	Code/Policy			
real estate inspections.				
Provide clear expectations	Policy			

for implementation.				
Acceptable means for	Regulations/Policy			
submitting documents to				
VDH (email, fax, etc.).				
Regulations should not	Regulations			
impose an unnecessary				
economic hardship.				
Add substantial	Regulations			
compliance.				
Recommendations for	Policy			
disinfection when				
performing maintenance.				
Timing issue for	Policy			
collection of GPS, drillers				
are putting it into VA				
Hydro but then VDH is				
also collecting a GPS				
point at a later time.				
Easements		,		
Revise section 340 to	Code/Regulations	Revise section 340 to require an		
require an easement, even		easement, even if the property owner		
if the property owner is		is the same.		
the same.		Include single ownership language		
		similar to language contained in the		
		Sewage Handling and Disposal		
		Regulations.		
Improve Private Sector E			T	
Consistency in design	Policy			
approach; VDH and				
private sector not on the				
same page.				
Private sector designer's	Policy			
permits are difficult to				

1 '.1 . 1	Γ		1
work with; too much			
unnecessary information.			
Licensure/Evaluations for			
Allow drillers to provide	Code/Regulations		
wells evaluations for			
permits.			
Acknowledging water	Regulations		
well system provider			
license through the			
regulations.			
Null and Voiding Permits/	New Applications an	d Fees	
LHD requiring new	Regulations/Policy		
permit and fee for			
relocating well.			
Consistency in design	Policy		
approach; VDH and			
private sector not on the			
same page.			
Need more flexibility with	Regulations/Policy		
permits.			
Observation/Monitoring/C	Geotechnical Wells		
Proper abandonment of	Regulations	Create a method for abandoning	Does VDOT or neighboring
geotechnical and		geotechnical wells that is not required	states have any data from
exploration wells.		by permitting. Create a standard/BMP.	impacts of improperly
exploration wens.		by permitting. Create a standard Bivir.	grouted geotechnical wells?
			Does VDH have authority to
			regulate geotechnical wells?
			How do other agencies/states
			define geotechnical wells?
			Is there an ASTM standard?
D C' ' 1' 4 1	D 1.4		is there an ASTWI standard?
Defining direct push	Regulations		
wells.	D 1.1		
Defining environmental	Regulations		

sampling wells.			
Revised exemption of	Regulations		Does VDH have authority to
observation and			regulate observation and
monitoring wells.			monitoring wells?
			_
Create standards for	Regulations		Does VDH have authority to
environmental sampling			regulate environmental
wells.			sampling wells?
Permit Expiration			
Separate requirements for	Code		
well only permits and			
permits in conjunction			
with a septic permit;			
different expiration dates.			
Regulatory Oversight		Ī	
Grout inspections.	Policy		
Driller notification to	Regulations/Policy		
LHD for well			
construction.			
Add substantial	Regulations		
compliance.			
Revisions to	Regulations		
administrative processes			
(hearings, variances) for			
consistency with other			
regulations.			
Process requirements for	Regulations		
submitting completion			
reports.			
Revised procedures for	Regulations		
product reviews and			
approvals.			

Maintenance requirements	Code		
for wells.	Code		
	Code		
Required abandonment of	Code		
contaminated wells.			
Research Needs	G 1 /D 1 / /		
Knowledge gaps in	Code/Regulations/		
assumptions versus	Policy		
science; research needs.			
Regulations should not	Regulations		
impose an unnecessary			
economic hardship.			
Separation Distances			
Define agricultural zones	Code/Regulations /		
as relate to setbacks.	Policy		
Inconsistency between			
LHD's regarding			
interpretation.			
Reduced setbacks from	Regulations		
abandoned wells.			
Revise Table 3.1.	Regulations		
Revised setbacks for	Regulations		
downslope siting of wells.	_		
Recommended separation	Regulations/Policy		
distance from utility lines.			
Create separation distance	Regulations		
from inactive septic			
systems.			
Revised separation	Regulations		
distance from termite			
treated structures.			
Separation distance from	Regulations		
repair drainfield to an			
existing well.			

TT		 	
Water Quality			
Improve upon the water	Code/Regulations		
quality parameters in			
section 370 (e.g. North			
Carolina sampling			
requirements).			
Improve procedures	Regulations		
regarding chlorination;			
chlorination related to pH.			
Develop sampling	Regulations/Policy.		
protocols for private			
wells.			
Define contamination of a	Code/Regulation		
private well.			
Regulation of water	Code		
haulers.			
Required use of lead-free	Code/Regulations		
components.			
Effects of corrosive water	Code/Regulations		
on galvanized drop pipe.			
Requirements for quality	Regulations		
of water used in well			
construction process.			
Required abandonment of	Code		
contaminated wells.			
Water Quantity			
How is well yield actually	Regulations		
estimated?			